

Name of Student

Instructor's Name

Course Code

Date

Transforming Food Access Through Policy: A Food-System Analysis of the Double Up Food Bucks Program

The United States' nutrition policy has been at the center of shaping what people consume and the flow of food through the system, from farms to households. With a national SNAP program, inadequate access to affordable fresh food sources continues to be a source of injustice, especially to low-income communities. The gaps can be illuminated through the Double Up Food Bucks (DUFB) program, which provides a good way to see how policy can fill in those gaps by enhancing the consumer purchasing power and local food economies. DUFB represents a larger movement to nutrition incentives, which are not only designed to work on diets but also to affect patterns of production and distribution. The paper will analyze the ways in which the food system and the outcomes that DUFB strives to achieve are formed by the influence of the free-market forces, innovative policy suggestions, and existing regulations.

**Background: How DUFB Works**

The Double Up Food Bucks (DUFB) was an intervention program that introduced a community-based intervention to promote food access and local agriculture in 2009. It operates by matching the dollar-to-dollar amount on fruits and vegetables of SNAP participants, effectively doubling the purchasing power of SNAP recipients at farmers markets, and, more and more, at grocery stores (Archambeau 11). The program is funded by a combination of federal GusNIP funds, state partnerships, and philanthropy; it is aimed at connecting public health

objectives to economic development (Williams et al. 295). DUFB benefits the growers by allowing them to have a consistent and predictable demand for fresh produce, but also benefits the consumer by enabling them to access a healthier product, which otherwise would be unaffordable. Due to its effects on purchases, agricultural earnings, and local retail settings, DUFB offers an excellent basis on which to explore the impacts of policies throughout the food system.

### **Free-Market Demand Impacts**

#### *Effects on Growers*

Small and mid-sized growers of fruits and vegetables are under pressure because of the free-market dynamics. Markets of fresh produce are associated with volatility of prices, low profit margin, and cost of inputs, which all restrict the capacity of farmers to have consistent production plans. Researchers indicate that farmers who sell to local markets do not have bargaining power over bigger distributors, which form enduring scale disadvantages (Kelloway and Buck 122). Such pressures prevent smaller producers from growing or diversifying their crops even in cases when the communities need fresh food. The DUFB program changes the dynamics by creating a more foreseeable flow of demand by SNAP households that stimulates farmers to produce more specialty crops (Winfield 3). Nutrition incentive assessment data show that a steady DUFB redemption enables growers to predict their higher sales seasonally with an increase of 16.7% annually, and makes better-informed planting choices (Callahan et al. 10). Through uncertainty reduction, DUFB assists small-scale producers to be competitive in the market that is otherwise dominated by large-scale agricultural activities.

#### *Effects on Marketing and Distribution*

Retail consolidation influences the marketing and distribution systems of fresh produce to a great degree. In the last ten years, large supermarket chains have increased control over pricing, product quality, and timing of the supply chain. Due to the logistical and volume requirements to sell into the channels, smaller growers find it difficult to sell to the channels, and it centralizes power in a few large retailers (Thomas et al. 194). Also, supply chain challenges, including low cold storage, high transportation costs, and perishability of fruits and vegetables, limit the capability of local producers to access many consumers. A specific issue is perishability; the goods do not have time to grow in value, and the growers have fewer margins.

By turning around farmers' markets and promoting more direct-to-consumer relations, DUFB has contributed to overcoming some of the impediments. Since incentives enable SNAP customers to buy more fresh produce, the markets involved note higher traffic and retention of vendors (Nielsen et al. 9). The growth in direct marketing means shorter supply chains, allowing growers to avoid huge distributors and keep more of the value of each sale. In areas where DUFB has opened into grocery stores, the program has helped retailers to buy more local products, in a way that has incorporated smaller growers into the distribution channels previously closed off (Nielsen et al. 14). It shows how a specific policy intervention can redefine patterns of distribution, which will not be fixed through a free market.

### *Effects on Consumers*

Free-market food pricing is critical to consumer buying behavior. Fresh foods are seen as a high cost or less economical than processed foods with high calorie content, which creates huge disparities in affordability among low-income families. Studies involving SNAP consumer behavior indicate that price is among the best predictors of fruit and vegetable purchases, especially in families with children (Metoyer et al. 2). The inequality is reinforced by the market

pricing structures, where nutritious foods become relatively more expensive in terms of the calorie content, thereby restricting access even in cases when the availability increases.

The buying forces are directly changed by DUFEB. The program will make fresh produce more accessible by increasing the relative affordability of fruits and vegetables, to the extent that SNAP dollars are doubled, making fruit and vegetable consumption more affordable (Berkowitz et al. 2). According to scholars, nutritional incentives change how consumers perceive the values of produce and open them up to purchasing foods they previously considered risky (Rummo et al. 7). The behavior change is not merely short-lived; multiple studies reveal that the continued application of incentives creates more robust habits related to produce usage and enhances dietary variety (Vargo et al. 3). Essentially, DUFEB will remedy distortions of the free market that continue to persist and bring consumer preferences much closer to societal health objectives.

#### *Synthesis: The Free Market Limitations*

Such trends depict that free-market processes are not sufficient to guarantee an equal availability of healthy diets. Pricing is unfriendly to both the growers and consumers, whereas distribution systems favor huge retailers (Shanks et al. 4). The example of DUFEB shows that specialized policy can help stabilize the incomes of growers, develop the local market, and redefine consumer behaviors (Nyarko 19). According to Williams and colleagues, the problem of produce affordability and access is systemic and needs policy intervention and not market correction. Initiatives such as DUFEB demonstrate the possibility of correcting structural inequities with the help of incentives and creating a more balanced and food system-oriented health.

### **Innovative Policy Proposals That Could Redefine What We Should Eat**

#### *Federal Nutrition Incentives*

The growth of federal aid to dietary incentives such as Double Up Food Bucks (DUFB) is one of the most powerful policy changes. GusNIP financing has escalated, yet demand outweighs resources, and more extensive federal dedication can normalize the programs across the nation (Nugent and Yaroch 2). Expanding nationwide would also allow additional retailers to join in, such as supermarkets and small grocers. Implementing incentives in school districts and in WIC agencies may extend to nutritionally vulnerable families and may normalize early produce eating. It is as important to modernize EBT systems, which include online redemption and automatic tracking, to decrease the administrative load, enhancing consistency, which is observed in recent assessments (Bonds 24). Combined, the proposals enhance the infrastructure of DUFB and increase its overall influence on public health.

*Fiscal Policies: Subsidies, Taxes, and Targeted Incentives*

The tools of fiscal policy also provide an effective way of influencing the diet of the population. Several researchers claim that the present-day food economy favors commodity crops in subsidies to the extent that fruit and vegetable farmers have little assistance (Williams et al. 292). By shifting agricultural subsidies to specialty crops, production costs may be reduced, and healthy foods made more affordable. Also, the retailers who significantly stock healthy foods, e.g., by offering lower business taxation to stores that purchase a portion of their produce locally, should be encouraged to be healthier in their retail outlets.

Even in the U.S., sugary drinks tax has been considered in some cities to change the consumption habits. Public health studies indicate that the taxes decrease the consumption of high-sugar drinks and promote their replacement with healthier ones (Callahan et al. 22). When put together with the incentive structure of DUFB, the mentioned fiscal instruments would remodel consumer behavior, better than market forces alone.

### *Public Procurement and Institutional Food Policies*

The other way of defining dietary norms is via institutional procurement policies. Hospitals, universities, and prisons provide millions of meals annually, and thus contain significant power in shaping both supply chains and food-related expectations in society. Studies indicate that institutions that are willing to purchase local or sustainably cultivated produce reinforce regional food systems and enhance the grower's stability (Archambeau 10). An institutional buying policy that requires some percentage of institutional food budget on local produce would provide big, reliable markets to grow fruits and vegetables. The policies also strengthen cultural beliefs about the appearance of healthy meals. The fresh produce can be institutionalized to normalize food habits by being made a consistent part of the dietary habits through the purchase of foods in line with the national eating standards.

### *Technological and Data-Driven Innovations*

There is an increasing role of technology in food policy. Programs such as DUFEB can be simplified through mobile applications that facilitate benefit redemption to minimize confusion or stigma related to incentive programs. The real-time retail sales data can also assist policymakers in a better understanding of the consumption trends and thus adjust incentives. The recent literature emphasizes the opportunities of artificial intelligence to track inequalities in food systems, identify gaps in supply, and simulate policy modifications (Chiamaka and Alawode 958). The tools can facilitate a more adaptive policy system that responds to needs in the community as opposed to making linear assumptions. The use of the technologies in DUFEB can enhance efficiency, increase access, and enhance accountability.

### *Comparisons in International Policies*

Other countries' policy models are helpful. The Healthy Start voucher scheme in the United Kingdom is a produce subsidy scheme provided to low-income families with young children and pregnant women that illustrates how interventions in the early years of life can have impacts on diet over the long term. The Food Acquisition Program (PAA) of Brazil, which procures food directly to small-scale producers for schools and other government institutions, shows how policies can be used in procurements to promote food and economic growth (Falvo 121). The examples of other countries indicate that even large-scale nutrition support programs can be maintained with effective policy commitment and combined governance.

#### *Connection to DUFB*

Collectively, all the policy innovations provide avenues towards DUFB fortification. The program can be expanded to cover all and be more stable with added federal funding, digital systems, procurement alignment, and fiscal incentives. Nutrition incentives are more effective when combined with wider structural reforms. With the combination of the innovations, DUFB can become a more detailed national policy of enhancing the quality of the diet and equity in the food system.

#### **Current Policy Across All Food System Stages**

##### *Production*

The production of agriculture is greatly influenced by federal policy, particularly in terms of funding specialty crops as provided by the Farm Bill. Although the programs have some provisions, smaller growers of fruits and vegetables continue to have limited access to cost-share programs and infrastructure grants (Berkowitz et al. 2). Nutrition incentive programs like DUFB can fill the gap by providing a credible signal of demand, motivating farmers to produce more specialty crops.

### *Processing*

One of the greatest bottlenecks to small producers is processing. Lots of them do not have a connection to certified kitchens, cold storage, and cheap food-safety compliance systems. Small operations, though necessary, are overburdened by regulatory requirements. According to scholars, a lack of capacity to process limits the capacity of local growers to scale and access mainstream retail outlets (Dhillon and Moncur 3).

### *Distribution*

The SNAP retailer authorization regulations, transportation infrastructure, and retail consolidation influence distribution systems. Smaller retailers find it difficult to take part in SNAP because of equipment and reporting issues. The introduction of DUFB to grocery stores has served to fill the gap by encouraging retailers to stock up more local produce (Archambeau 11). Nevertheless, the rural transport constraints are still a hindrance to the distribution of the region.

### *Access*

Access is determined by zoning laws, the location of supermarkets, and the availability of public transportation. Neighborhoods with low income are food deserts, even with national programs (Dsouza et al. 1). DUFB somewhat addresses the disparity by enhancing the buying capacity of SNAP residents in farmers' markets and certain grocery stores. Studies indicate that incentives help greatly to enhance the access of fruits and vegetables to families previously suffering the impact of affordability.

### *Consumption*

Dietary regulations and SNAP buying policies have a direct effect on the nutrition of households. DUFB has been demonstrated to boost fruit and vegetable consumption and diets

among SNAP users (Nielsen et al. 17). The program reduces the cost barriers, aligning the federal nutrition policy to healthier eating habits.

### *Resource Use*

There are policies that promote local farming and sustainable production methods that promote better use of resources. Local sourcing will decrease transportation emissions and can enhance the health of the soil by making the area undergo diversified cropping (Yang et al. 2). The efforts are reinforced by DUFEB as it focuses consumer spending on local growers.

### *Waste Recovery*

Gleaning programs, composting incentives, and donation protections are some of the food recovery policies that aid in redirecting surplus produce. Through DUFEB, the need to increase demand will decrease on-farm waste by increasing the speed with which a product is channeled to the consumer.

### **Limitations and Structural Challenges**

There are severe structural constraints associated with DUFEB despite the benefits. One of the major challenges is the problem of funding instability, as the reliance on grants and a one-year appropriation impedes long-term planning (Callahan et al. 21). Nonprofits and small retailers that cannot hire staff or develop digital reporting systems are also under administrative pressure. Geographic inequalities continue, and rural and low-access groups have fewer participating outlets. There are also large-scale policy constraints, such as commodity subsidies and disproportionate SNAP retailer coverage, which further limit program coverage (Williams et al. 293). The problems demonstrate that DUFEB should be better supported with a powerful and resolute policy to maintain long-term efficiency and fair growth.

### **Conclusion**

The case study of Double Up Food Bucks (DUFB) demonstrates the ability of specific nutritional incentives to transform various angles of the food system and correct the inequities that are unresolved by free-market mechanisms. The clear demand signal and affordability advantages of DUFB empower growers, increase access to the low-income segment of consumers, and promote better health buying behavior in consumers. The promising prospects of the program in terms of greater structural impact are demonstrated by innovative policy recommendations, including increased federal funding, EBT modernization, and institutional procurement. However, the success of DUFB is also subject to current funding, infrastructure, and participation constraints. Overall, DUFB demonstrates how interventions regarding food policy can be more coordinated to make the food system more equitable, sustainable, and health-promoting.

## Works Cited

Archambeau, Courtney A. *Feeding Our Local Communities-The Benefits of Food Assistance*

*Programs at Local Farmers Markets*. 2023,

[https://search.proquest.com/openview/9db88a9dfae0820a8988fb4647ad104e/1?pq-](https://search.proquest.com/openview/9db88a9dfae0820a8988fb4647ad104e/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y)

[origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y](https://search.proquest.com/openview/9db88a9dfae0820a8988fb4647ad104e/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y). Michigan Technological University, Master's Thesis.

Berkowitz, Seth A., et al. "Association of a Fruit and Vegetable Subsidy Program With Food

Purchases by Individuals With Low Income in the US." *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 4, no.

8, Aug. 2021, p. e2120377, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.20377>.

Bonds, Brandan. "Food for Thought: Expanding the Pandemic-EBT Program to Provide

Emergency Food Assistance for All." *Loy. J. Pub. Int. L.*, vol. 23, 2021, p. 24.

Callahan, Katrina, et al. "SNAP Healthy Food Pricing Incentive Programs: State Comparison &

Evaluation." *Current Developments in Nutrition*, vol. 6, no. Supplement\_1, 2022, pp.

101–101.

Chiamaka, Obunadike Thank God, and Adedapo Alawode. *AI-Enhanced Scenario Planning for*

*US Food Trade Policy: Anticipating Global Supply Chain Shocks and Food Insecurity*

*Risks*. 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-Alawode/publication/391671600_AI-enhanced_scenario_planning_for_US_food_trade_policy_Anticipating_global_supply_chain_shocks_and_food_insecurity_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf)

[Alawode/publication/391671600\\_AI-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-Alawode/publication/391671600_AI-enhanced_scenario_planning_for_US_food_trade_policy_Anticipating_global_supply_chain_shocks_and_food_insecurity_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf)

[enhanced\\_scenario\\_planning\\_for\\_US\\_food\\_trade\\_policy\\_Anticipating\\_global\\_supply\\_ch](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-Alawode/publication/391671600_AI-enhanced_scenario_planning_for_US_food_trade_policy_Anticipating_global_supply_chain_shocks_and_food_insecurity_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf)

[ain\\_shocks\\_and\\_food\\_insecurity\\_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-Alawode/publication/391671600_AI-enhanced_scenario_planning_for_US_food_trade_policy_Anticipating_global_supply_chain_shocks_and_food_insecurity_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf)

[scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-Alawode/publication/391671600_AI-enhanced_scenario_planning_for_US_food_trade_policy_Anticipating_global_supply_chain_shocks_and_food_insecurity_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf)

[and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adedapo-Alawode/publication/391671600_AI-enhanced_scenario_planning_for_US_food_trade_policy_Anticipating_global_supply_chain_shocks_and_food_insecurity_risks/links/68223b42d1054b0207ee67e5/AI-enhanced-scenario-planning-for-US-food-trade-policy-Anticipating-global-supply-chain-shocks-and-food-insecurity-risks.pdf).

- Dhillon, Rajveer, and Qianna Moncur. "Small-Scale Farming: A Review of Challenges and Potential Opportunities Offered by Technological Advancements." *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 21, 2023, p. 15478.
- Dsouza, Alwin, et al. "Does the Double Up Food Bucks Program Benefit Local Food Producers and Consumers? Evidence from New Mexico." *Agricultural Finance Review*, Apr. 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-03-2024-0042>.
- Falvo, Chiara. "Sustainable Public Food Procurement in Brazilian Schools: An Obligation under and beyond the National School Feeding Programme (Programa Nacional de Alimentação Escolar–PNAE)." *Sustainable Food Procurement*, Taylor & Francis, 2024, <https://library.oapen.org/handle/20.500.12657/87735>.
- Kelloway, Claire, and Matthew Jinoos Buck. "Kickbacks and Corporate Concentration: How Exclusionary Discounts Limit Market Access for Community-Based Food Businesses." *Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. Inter Alia*, 2023, p. 1. *Google Scholar*.
- Metoyer, Brittani N., et al. "Fruit and Vegetable Shopping Behavior and Intake among Low-Income Minority Households with Elementary-Aged Children." *Children*, vol. 10, no. 1, Jan. 2023, p. 82, <https://doi.org/10.3390/children10010082>.
- Nielsen, Aida, et al. *Statewide Discussions Around Snap & Double up Food Bucks: Focus Groups and Regional Convenings*. 2022, pp. 1–19.
- Nugent, Sarah Stotz, and Amy Yaroch. *How GusNIP Nutrition Incentives Work: A Theory of Change*. 2022. *Google Scholar*, [https://nutritionincentivehub.org/media/cgvfsday/how-gusnip-nutrition-incentives-work\\_a-theory-of-change.pdf](https://nutritionincentivehub.org/media/cgvfsday/how-gusnip-nutrition-incentives-work_a-theory-of-change.pdf).
- Nyarko, Beatrice. "*Hidden*" *Community Capital and Farmers' Market Management and Performance in Vermont*. 2025. The University of Vermont and State Agricultural

College, Master's Thesis. *Google Scholar*,

<https://search.proquest.com/openview/3be9f238c8e0f6d4aa391969acd4c6c5/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>.

Rummo, Pasquale E., et al. "The Impact of Financial Incentives on SNAP Transactions at Mobile Produce Markets." *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, vol. 18, no. 1, Dec. 2021, p. 26, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-021-01093-z>.

Shanks, Carmen Byker, et al. "Nutrition Incentives Associated With Improved Outcomes: 2020–2023 Results From the US Gus Schumacher Nutrition Incentive Program." *AJPM Focus*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2025, p. 100348, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.focus.2025.100348>.

Thomas, Audrey, et al. "Exploring Barriers and Facilitators to Direct-to-Retail Sales Channels: Farmers' Perspectives on Wholesaling Produce to Small Food Retailers in Charles County, Maryland." *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2025, pp. 185–206.

Vargo, Lauren, et al. "Understanding SNAP Recipient Characteristics to Guide Equitable Expansion of Nutrition Incentive Programs in Diverse Food Retail Settings." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 9, Jan. 2022, p. 4977. [www.mdpi.com](http://www.mdpi.com), <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19094977>.

Williams, Erica, et al. "Food Pricing and Consumer Choice: Recommendations for Improving Affordability for Fresh Produce in Missouri." *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2025, pp. 291–99, <https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2025.142.026>.

Winfield, Holly Lynn. *Food Sourcing and Meal Routines among Families Using a Farmers Market Incentive Program*. 2021,

[https://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc\\_num=osu1618921567868787](https://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=osu1618921567868787). The Ohio State University, Master's Thesis.

Yang, Xiaolin, et al. "Diversifying Crop Rotation Increases Food Production, Reduces Net Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Improves Soil Health." *Nature Communications*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2024, p. 198.

MY Perfect Writing